IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

RYSTA LEONA SUSMAN, Both individually and as Legal Guardian of Shane Allen Loveland; and JACOB SUMMERS

Plaintiff(s),

CASE NO. 8:18CV127

INDEX OF EXHIBITS TO PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO GOODYEAR'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGEMENT

v. THE GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY

Defendant(s).

Exhibit	Description
1	Sworn Expert Report of David Southwell (filed under seal pursuant
	to Protective Order Doc. No 66)
2	Selected portions of the deposition of David Southwell

Respectfully submitted,

KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR, & BALL, L.L.P.

: // E // P

Kyle Wayne Farrar (*Pro Hac Vice*) Kaster, Lynch, Farrar & Ball, LLP

Texas Bar No. 24038754

1117 Herkimer St.

Houston, TX 77008

(713) 221-8300 (Telephone)

(713) 221-8301 (Facsimile)

kyle@fbtrial.com

and

Paul Godlewski (*Pro Hac Vice*) SCHEWEBEL, GOETZ & SIEBEN, P.A. 5120 IDS Center 80 S. 8th Street, #5120 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 612.377.7777 612.333.6311 (Fax) pgodlewski@schwebel.com

and

Michael F. Coyle Fraser Stryker Law Firm 409 South 17th Street Suite 500, Energy Plaza Omaha, NE 68102 mcoyle@fraserstryker.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (CM/ECF)

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on September 3, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system.

Edward S. Bott, Jr Clark W. Hedger Juliane M. Rodriguez 10 South Broadway, Ste 2000 St. Louis, MO 63102 esb@greensfelder.com ch1@greensfelder.com jrodriquez@greensfelder.com Jennifer D. Tricker 1700 Farnam Street, Ste 1500 Omaha, NE 68102 jtricker@bairdholm.com

EXHIBIT 1 FILED UNDER SEAL

CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL PROTECTED BY COURT ORDER

EXHIBIT 2

In the Matter of:

Rysta Leona Susman, et al.
vs.
The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company

David Roy Southwell March 28, 2019



Chicago, IL • 312.386.2000 • 800.868.0061

8

9

10

11

12

21

13

A. I will, yeah. 1

- O. That's fine. Now, I want to take, first, kind 2 of a high-level broad view of what I glean from your report in terms of your opinions. Okay?
- A. Uh-huh. 5
 - Q. Break it down a little bit?
- 7 A. Yes.

6

- Q. The first thing I note is that you state that 8 there are -- that "There exists 'extensive areas' of harder and more brittle rubber in the belt skim coat and 10 other compounds that have been affected by thermal
- oxidative degradation"? 12
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Did I state that correctly?
- 15 A. Yes, I think so.
- Q. And you specifically, then, point to design 16
- measures which are, in your judgment, successful at 17
- reducing thermal oxidative degradation, including the 18
- inner liner compound formula? 19
- A. Yes. 20
- Q. Inner liner cured gauge? 21
- 22
- Q. And antioxidative additives to the skim coats 23
- 24 and the compounds?
- 25 A. Yes.

1

oxidation.

Q. Well, whether it's meaningless or not, I 2 suppose can be debated. But my question is, looking at

15

16

- the inner liner gauge alone in this tire, are you
- testifying to a reasonable degree of engineering
- certainty that the cured inner liner gauge was
- 7 unreasonably dangerous?
 - A. Not specifically, no.
 - Q. And are you testifying to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty that the inner liner compound that was used was unreasonably dangerous?
 - A. Well, again, I can't be specific about that
- because I don't have that information. 13
- Q. I'm -- I need to parcel this out, if you can, 14
- whether it's -- I understand where -- where you're coming 15
- from, but I need an answer to this question, whether you 16
- can state to a reasonable -- reasonable degree of 17
- engineering certainty that this liner compound was 18
- defective and unreasonably dangerous. 19
- A. Again, I can't be specific about that --20
 - Q. All right.
- A. -- because that information has not been 22
- 23 provided by Goodyear.
- Q. Do you hold an opinion to a reasonable degree 24
 - of engineering certainty that this tire was defective and

14

- unreasonably dangerous because of the oxidative agents that were used or not used in the skim coat and
- 3 compounds?
- A. Well, again, that information has not been provided by Goodyear so I can't be definitive about that.
- Q. So the answer is, as of today, you do not hold 6 7 that opinion, correct?
- A. Well, I don't hold an opinion either way on 8 that because I don't have that level of detail. 9
- Q. Your report also contains an opinion that the 10 steel belts around the subject tire exhibit significant 11 lateral displacement around the tire, which increase the overall durability load on the tire, making it more 13 14 susceptible to failure?
 - A. Yes.

15

18

- Q. Okay. This, I assume, would be a manufacturing 16 17 defect opinion?
 - A. Yes.
- 19 O. As opposed to the one we just discussed, that 20 would be a design-related issue, correct?
- A. Well, it could be both in the sense that if the 21 design allows for excessive lateral variation, then the 22
- 23 design is defective. If the design does not allow for
- excessive lateral deviation in the location of the belts
- and the belts exceed the specification, then, in my view,

- Q. All right. Is it your opinion to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty that this tire, the tire at issue in this case, was defective in design because of the inner liner compound that was used?
- A. I can't be definitive about that because 5
- Goodyear have not provided that information. 6 Q. So the answer to that is, as of today, you are 7 8 unable to give that -- you do not have that opinion, 9 correct?
- A. Not specifically about the compound of the 10 liner. My opinion is that the design of the tire, which 11
- incorporates the inner liner compound, the gauge and the 12
- antioxidants, was inadequate and caused the tire to
- oxidize. But I can't be specific about the compound element of that because I don't have that information. 15
- Q. Okay. Same question with regard to the inner 16 liner cured gauge. Do you hold an opinion that this tire 17 was defective because of the cured gauge of the inner
- liner that was on this tire? 19
- A. Well, again, the inner liner gauge is -- is 20 a -- an element or design parameter that can be changed 21
- to change the rate at which the -- the skim coat 22
- compounds will oxidize. But taking the gauge in 23
- isolation is -- is in many ways meaningless. You have to
- 25 consider all of the factors that -- that affect

1

10

14

15

19

23

69

Q. Right. So my impression of your theory here 1

2 would be that because of the thermal oxidative

3 degradation in the belt skim coat that contributed to a separation of the belt package at the belt edges, true?

A. Well, it's the skim coat and other rubber compounds in the belt package. 6

Q. Right. Okay. Not just the skim coat, skim 7 coat and other rubber compounds?

A. Sure. 9

Q. But the point is that the effect of the thermal 10

oxidative degradation, by making the skim coat and other 11

compounds more brittle or harder, is that it - it makes

it more susceptible to a belt edge separation?

A. That's correct. 14

Q. All right. And it's your belief that, in fact, 15

16 this initiating separation, the -- the -- the one that

initiated this, as you call it, the -- the catastrophic

failure, that was, in part, because of thermal oxidative 18

19 degradation?

20 A. Yes.

Q. All right. With regard to the 21 years that 21

22 this tire had been from the date of its manufacture, do

you have any judgment of -- of how long it would take a 23

well-built tire to show these same signs of thermal

25 oxidative degradation or -- or is it something that you

Q. You did not do it in this case?

2 A. No.

Q. Is there a reason why you did not do it in this 3

71

72

4 case?

A. I didn't think it was necessary. It wasn't 5

asked for it. I wasn't asked to do it.

Q. Your judgment is that -- or your belief was 7

that your subjective hands-on tactile and visual 8

9 inspection of the tire is sufficient?

A. Yes.

Q. Thermal oxidative degradation is a function of 11

the gauge of the inner liner as well as the -- the -- the 12

design in the compound within the inner liner? 13

A. That's --

Q. Is there -- is there more to it?

A. Well, there's -- there's the rate at which the 16

air permeates the inner liner, and that's a function of 17

the gauge and the compound of the liner. 18

O. Okay.

20 A. And then there is the resistance of the skim

coat and other -- and wedge gum and other compounds to --21

to resist oxidation. 22

Q. Okay.

A. So, you know, you could have a very poor liner 24

25 but very good resistance within the compounds to

70

oxidation. Or you could have a -- a very, very high

quality liner and low antioxidant properties within the

skim coats. Both would be ways of ensuring the tire

wears out before it falls apart. 4

Q. All right. And I understand that you have 5

opinions critical of Goodyear with regard to this

design -- the design of this particular tire. I 7

understand that.

Would you agree, however, overall that 9

10 Goodyear has a good understanding and a good track record

of what it takes to design and manufacture tires of all

makes and models in order to prevent excessive thermal

oxidative degradation? 13

A. Well, I don't know what Goodyear knows. I 14

don't have an opinion about that. 15

Q. Well, based upon your experience and the tires 16

17 that they produce.

A. Well, they should have.

Q. Don't they? Yeah, they should. 19

And you don't have any reason to believe 20

21 that they don't, do you? Putting aside what you believe

about this tire. I know you say they --

A. Well, I can't put that aside. 23

Q. I know you say they missed it on this tire, but 24

25 overall isn't your experience that Goodyear knows how to

1 really can't answer because of all the host of different

2

A. It's dependent on a lot of different 3

4 variables --

5

16

22

23

25

Q. Okay.

6 A. -- yes.

Q. Can you point to any objective evidence of 7 thermal oxidative degradation in this tire? 8

A. If by "objective," you mean quantify with a 9 number, no. It's a -- it's a subjective assessment based 10

on years of experience. 11

O. And -- and your personal touch? 12

13

Q. Are there techniques that can be used to 14 measure thermal oxidative degradation? 15

A. Oh, certainly there are. I think I've

17 mentioned them in my report. Q. Do you have the facilities or the technology 18

to -- to conduct those measurements? 19

A. Not personally. That's something that I 20 subcontract. 21

Q. Who do you subcontract with?

A. Well, I'd use the laboratory.

Q. Have you done that in the past? 24

A. I have.

(Pages 69 to 72)

18